Discussion of "Fiscal Multipliers in a Nonlinear World" Jesper Lindé & Mathias Trabandt

Robert Kollmann, ECARES, ULB and CEPR

Atlanta Fed-ECB-EABCN Conference, Frankfurt,

December 16, 2014

IMPORTANT paper on key research & policy questions

Innovative, thought-provoking

Very valuable exercise. Suggests many interesting avenues for future research.

- Financial Crisis triggered very low policy rate (ZLB)
- ullet Fiscal stimulus was used during crisis \Longrightarrow debt \uparrow
- In aftermath of crisis: 'austerity' $G \downarrow$, Taxes \uparrow
- Literature argues that Fiscal spending multiplier
 LARGER at ZLB binds
- e.g. Christiano & Eichenbaum (2011), many others

 $G \uparrow$

- In normal times, ⇒nominal & real interest rate ↑
- ⇒ dampens aggregate demand rise

- At ZLB: nominal interest rate does NOT rise;
- real rate \downarrow (as expected inflation \uparrow)
- ⇒ stronger rise in aggregate demand and GDP

Some studies based on NK DSGE models suggest that fiscal multiplier can be MUCH bigger at ZLB E.g. Erceg & Lindé (JEEA, 2014)

⇒ fiscal austerity can be self-defeating (i.e. trigger fall in GDP that is so strong that gov't debt RISES).

These studies use models in which all equations are linearized (around steady state with non-binding ZLB), except interest rate equation

$$i_t = Max(0, \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \pi_t + \gamma_2 Y_t)$$

Braun, Körber & Waki (2012) argue that finding of large fiscal multipliers at ZLB is spurious: artefact of LINEARIZATION

Braun et al.: stylized model with exact solution; true multiplier at ZLB is in 'conventional' range, i.e. much smaller than multiplier in linearized model

But does Braun at al. results hold, in medium-scale model?

Jesper & Mathias address that question.

Compute deterministic (perfect foresight)
 non-linear solution of richer DSGE models (Dynare)

KEY FINDINGS:

•In non-linear model, DEMAND shocks trigger much more muted responses of INFLATION & nominal interest rate (than in linearized model).

- At ZLB, fiscal multipliers in non-linear model are much smaller than multipliers in linear model.
- The difference (non-linear vs. linear) is INCREASING in duration of ZLB

Difference driven by PRICE SETTING EQUATIONS
 & PRICE DISPERSION

Non-linear model in which just non-lin. pricing equations are replaced by LINEARIZED pricing equations is VERY similar to FULLY linearized model

 Can austerity be self-defeating, at ZLB, in no-linear model?

Jesper & Mathias present NON-LINEAR model with FINANCIAL ACCELERATOR that generates big multipliers at ZLB

COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS:

- Easy to understand that non-linear model can produce very different responses than linearized model
- MORE DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND: sign of error due to linearization
- WHY is fiscal multiplier at ZLB SMALLER in nonlinear model (than in linear model)?

INTUITION not clear from paper

NEED INTUITION!

► SHOULD DO NON-LIN vs LIN COMPARISON FOR OTHER MODELS

E.g.: for model with occasionally binding borrowing constrains (Guerrieri & Iacoviello, 2014)

Kollmann, Ratto, Roeger, in't Veld & Vogel (Economic Policy, 2015)

- ► Three-country model, 1995-2013 [Germany, rest of Euro Area, ROW] with big shocks; financial accelerator; sovereign debt.
- ► Estimated linearized model versions without ZLB
- ► Non-linear model generates dynamics (in response to estimated shocks) very similar to linearized model

- Other useful things the authors could do, using their non-linear model solution:
- ► Comparison between positive and negative shocks
- **▶** Effect of size of shocks
- ► Paper focuses on role of ZLB for multiplier.
- In non-linear model, fiscal multiplier can be sensitive to other state variables too (e.g. financial stress, health of financial system).
- ► Can/should aggressive fiscal policy be used to lower ZLB duration?

- CAN AUSTERITY BE SELF-DEFEATING?
- ► More theoretical and empirical research needed
- ► Might depend on type of spending cut Eg productive spending (education, infrastructure)
- ► Combining G & Tax cuts (distorting taxes)
- Sovereign risk channel (Corsetti, Küster, Meier & Müller, 2013): G↓& Debt↓ ⇒ sovereign debt yields↓
- ⇒ private sector borrowing rates↓

- The models presented by Jesper & Mathias abstract from key aspects of central bank and fiscal policy that were key during and after crisis:
- quantitative easing
- bank rescue by governments

Etc.

Need to include these model feature if want to use model to interpret events since crisis

SUMMARY:

VERY USEFUL PAPER

LOOK FORWARD TO READING FUTURE VERSIONS

THANK YOU!