Economic structure and the real effects of monetary policy

Discussion by Alessandro Cantelmo (Bank of Italy)

8th ChaMP Workstream 2 Workshop Oesterreichische Nationalbank October 31, 2025

The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Bank of Italy, the Eurosystem, or their executive boards.

Premise and scope:

• Increasing share of services on total output relative to industry;

Premise and scope:

- Increasing share of services on total output relative to industry;
- Does this change in economic structure affect the transmission of monetary policy to output in the EA?

Premise and scope:

- Increasing share of services on total output relative to industry;
- Does this change in economic structure affect the transmission of monetary policy to output in the EA?

What the paper does:

Premise and scope:

- Increasing share of services on total output relative to industry;
- Does this change in economic structure affect the transmission of monetary policy to output in the EA?

What the paper does:

 Estimates the differential impact of monetary policy shocks on output in industry and services sectors.

Premise and scope:

- Increasing share of services on total output relative to industry;
- Does this change in economic structure affect the transmission of monetary policy to output in the EA?

What the paper does:

- Estimates the differential impact of monetary policy shocks on output in industry and services sectors.
- Disentangles the role of the rising share of the services sector.

Premise and scope:

- Increasing share of services on total output relative to industry;
- Does this change in economic structure affect the transmission of monetary policy to output in the EA?

What the paper does:

- Estimates the differential impact of monetary policy shocks on output in industry and services sectors.
- Disentangles the role of the rising share of the services sector.

Findings:

 The transmission of monetary policy shocks to EA output is mildly dampened by a higher share of services sector.

 Improve the relation with the literature on sectoral transmission of monetary policy.

- Improve the relation with the literature on sectoral transmission of monetary policy.
- Two opposing channels in the transmission of monetary policy shocks:

- Improve the relation with the literature on sectoral transmission of monetary policy.
- Two opposing channels in the transmission of monetary policy shocks:
 - Sectoral price stickiness:

- Improve the relation with the literature on sectoral transmission of monetary policy.
- Two opposing channels in the transmission of monetary policy shocks:
 - Sectoral price stickiness: services are more price rigid (e.g. Gautier et al., 2024 for EA estimates) → output responds more in services sectors (Bouakez et al., 2009; Galesi and Rachedi, 2019; Carvalho et al., 2021; etc.).

- Improve the relation with the literature on sectoral transmission of monetary policy.
- Two opposing channels in the transmission of monetary policy shocks:
 - Sectoral price stickiness: services are more price rigid (e.g. Gautier et al., 2024 for EA estimates) → output responds more in services sectors (Bouakez et al., 2009; Galesi and Rachedi, 2019; Carvalho et al., 2021; etc.).
 - Interest rate sensitivity: higher for manufacturing (Bernanke and Gertler, 1995) → output responds more in manufacturing sectors, see Datsenko and Fleck (2024) for very similar approach and results.

- Improve the relation with the literature on sectoral transmission of monetary policy.
- Two opposing channels in the transmission of monetary policy shocks:
 - Sectoral price stickiness: services are more price rigid (e.g. Gautier et al., 2024 for EA estimates) → output responds more in services sectors (Bouakez et al., 2009; Galesi and Rachedi, 2019; Carvalho et al., 2021; etc.).
 - Interest rate sensitivity: higher for manufacturing (Bernanke and Gertler, 1995) → output responds more in manufacturing sectors, see Datsenko and Fleck (2024) for very similar approach and results.
- How do the results of the paper square with and improve upon the existing literature? Maybe identify key sectors or sector-characteristics (e.g. financial sector, labor markets, etc.)

 Monetary policy shocks are accounted for by using the short-term interest rate and controlling for EA GDP and HICP:

 Monetary policy shocks are accounted for by using the short-term interest rate and controlling for EA GDP and HICP:

$$y_{i,t+h}^s = \alpha_{i,h}^s + \beta_h^s i_t + \gamma_h^s \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \delta_h^s \mathbf{X}_{j,t} + \zeta_h^s \mathbf{X}_t + \varepsilon_{i,t+h}^s$$
 (1)

 Monetary policy shocks are accounted for by using the short-term interest rate and controlling for EA GDP and HICP:

$$y_{i,t+h}^s = \alpha_{i,h}^s + \beta_h^s i_t + \gamma_h^s \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \delta_h^s \mathbf{X}_{j,t} + \zeta_h^s \mathbf{X}_t + \varepsilon_{i,t+h}^s$$
 (1)

 How does this approach compare to using identified monetary policy shocks, e.g. as in Altavilla et al. (2019) and Jarocinski and Karadi (2020)? See e.g. Datsenko and Fleck (2024).

 Monetary policy shocks are accounted for by using the short-term interest rate and controlling for EA GDP and HICP:

$$y_{i,t+h}^s = \alpha_{i,h}^s + \beta_h^s i_t + \gamma_h^s \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \delta_h^s \mathbf{X}_{j,t} + \zeta_h^s \mathbf{X}_t + \varepsilon_{i,t+h}^s$$
 (1)

- How does this approach compare to using identified monetary policy shocks, e.g. as in Altavilla et al. (2019) and Jarocinski and Karadi (2020)? See e.g. Datsenko and Fleck (2024).
- Discussion and robustness would be desirable.

• Provide more intuition for the main result.

- Provide more intuition for the main result.
- Compare the IRFs of aggregate output between the two specifications to highlight the role of the interaction coefficient.

- Provide more intuition for the main result.
- Compare the IRFs of aggregate output between the two specifications to highlight the role of the interaction coefficient.
- Report the IRFs at sectoral level to highlight eventual drivers across sectors.

- Provide more intuition for the main result.
- Compare the IRFs of aggregate output between the two specifications to highlight the role of the interaction coefficient.
- Report the IRFs at sectoral level to highlight eventual drivers across sectors.
- The text mentions responses at the 75th and 25th percentiles of the service-share distribution, it might be worth plotting them.

Minor comments

- Figure 4: is it from equation 1 or 2?
- Tables 2-4: specify the definition of the sectors in each column.

The end

- Very promising paper, with clear policy relavance.
- Many thanks!

References I

- Altavilla, C., Brugnolini, L., Gurkaynak, R. S., Motto, R., and Ragusa, G. (2019). Measuring euro area monetary policy. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 108 C:162–179.
- Bernanke, B. S. and Gertler, M. (1995). Inside the black box: The credit channel of monetary policy transmission. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4):27–48.
- Bouakez, H., Cardia, E., and Ruge-Murcia, Francisco J. (2009). The transmission of monetary policy in a multi-sector economy. *International Economic Review*, 50(4):1243–1266.
- Carvalho, C., Lee, J., and Park, S. (2021). Sticky prices and multi-sector dynamics: Matching sectoral price facts. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 13(2).

References II

- Datsenko, R. and Fleck, J. (2024). Country-specific effects of euro-area monetary policy: The role of sectoral differences. Feds notes, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC.
- Galesi, A. and Rachedi, O. (2019). Services deepening and the transmission of monetary policy. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 17(4):1261–1293.
- Gautier, E., Conflitti, C., Faber, Riemer P., Fabo, B., Fadejeva, L., Jouvanceau, V., Menz, J.-O., Messner, T., Petroulas, P., Roldán-Blanco, P., and Rumler, F. (2024). New facts on consumer price rigidity in the euro area. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 16(4):386–431.

References III

Jarocinski, M. and Karadi, P. (2020). Deconstructing monetary policy surprises - the role of information shocks. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 12(2):1–43.